


05-08-06

DAE  
JFW

PTO/SB/64 (07-05)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

# **PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION FOR PATENT ABANDONED UNINTENTIONALLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)**

**Docket Number (Optional)**  
 324212009600

First named inventor: Ramesh R. SARUKKAI

Application No: 09/933,956

Art Unit: 2655

Filed: August 21, 2001

Examiner: J. Wozniak

**Title: METHOD AND SYSTEM OF INTERPRETING AND PRESENTING WEB CONTENT  
USING A VOICE BROWSER**

MS RCE

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

NOTE: If information or assistance is needed in completing this form, please contact Petitions  
Information at (571) 272-3282.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to file a timely and proper reply to a notice or  
action by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. The date of abandonment is the day after the expiration  
date of the period set for reply in the office notice or action plus any extensions of time actually obtained.

## **APPLICANT HEREBY PETITIONS FOR REVIVAL OF THIS APPLICATION**

NOTE: A grantable petition requires the following items:

- (1) Petition fee;
- (2) Reply and/or issue fee;
- (3) Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee – required for all utility and plant applications  
filed before June 8, 1995; and for all design applications; and
- (4) Statement that the entire delay was unintentional.

### **1. Petition fee**

☐ Small entity – fee \$ \_\_\_\_\_ (37 CFR 1.17(m)). Applicant claims small entity status.  
See 37 CFR 1.27.

☒ Other than small entity – fee \$ 1,500.00 (37 CFR 1.17(m))

### **2. Reply and/or fee**

A. The reply and/or fee to the above-noted Office action in  
the form of \_\_\_\_\_ RCE and submission \_\_\_\_\_ (identify type of reply):

- ☐ has been filed previously on \_\_\_\_\_ .
- ☒ is enclosed herewith.

B. The issue fee and publication fee (if applicable) of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ .

- ☐ has been paid previously on \_\_\_\_\_ .
- ☐ is enclosed herewith.

Page 1 of 2

05/09/2006 SDENB01 00000048 031952 09933956

01 FC:1453

1500.00 DA

sf-2125358

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

## 3. Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee

- ☒ Since this utility/plant application was filed on or after June 8, 1995, no terminal disclaimer is required.
- ☐ A terminal disclaimer (and disclaimer fee (37 CFR 1.20(d)) of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for a small entity or \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for other than a small entity) disclaiming the required period of time is enclosed herewith (see PTO/SB/63).

4. STATEMENT: The entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the required reply until the filing of a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. [NOTE: The United States Patent and Trademark Office may require additional information if there is a question as to whether either the abandonment or the delay in filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional (MPEP 711.03(c), subsections (III)(C) and (D))].

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

May 5, 2006  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

Michael S. Garrabrants  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Typed or printed name

51,230  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Registration Number, if applicable

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP  
425 Market Street  
San Francisco, California 94105-2482  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Address

(415) 268-6824  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Telephone Number

- Enclosures: ☒ Fee Payment (in duplicate, 2 pages)
- ☒ Reply (11 pages)
- ☐ Terminal Disclaimer Form
- ☒ Additional sheets containing statements establishing unintentional delay (3 pages)
- ☒ Other: Petition for Extension of Time (1 page); Return Receipt Postcard


Page 2 of 2

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as Express Mail, Airbill No. EV731513874US, in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date shown below.

Dated: May 5, 2006

Signature:  (Laura Tsang)

sf-2125358



I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited via Express Mail, Label No. EV731513874US, to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, in an envelope addressed to MS RCE, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313, on the date shown below.

Dated: May 5, 2006

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

(Laura Tsang)

Docket No.: 324212009600  
(PATENT)

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Patent Application of:  
Ramesh R. SARUKKAI

Application No.: 09/933,956

Filed: August 21, 2001

Art Unit: 2655

For: METHOD AND SYSTEM OF INTERPRETING  
AND PRESENTING WEB CONTENT USING  
A VOICE BROWSER

Examiner: J. Wozniak

**COMMUNICATION SUBMITTED WITH A PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN  
UNINTENTIONALLY ABANDONED PATENT APPLICATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)**

Mail Stop RCE  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

The above-referenced application was unintentionally abandoned for failure to file a timely and proper reply to an Office action by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. The date of abandonment is the day after the expiration date of the period set for reply in the office action plus any extensions of time actually obtained.

The Office action to which Applicant's representatives failed (but attempted) to reply was a final Office action mailed on September 27, 2005, having an extended deadline for reply of March 27, 2006. Applicant's representatives attempted to file via facsimile a reply in the form of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) with a submission including claim amendments. However, Applicant's representatives inadvertently did not notice that the facsimile transmission was not successful. Applicant's representatives first learned of a potential abandonment on April 28, 2006. Applicant submits that there was no deliberate choice to abandon this application or to delay seeking revival of this application, and that such abandonment was unintentional.

SF-2125002

28, 2006. Applicant submits that there was no deliberate choice to abandon this application or to delay seeking revival of this application, and that such abandonment was unintentional.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.137(b), Applicant petitions to revive this application, and provides the following:

(1) a reply required to the outstanding Office action;

- Applicant's representatives have included with this petition an RCE containing claim amendments. Applicant submits that this RCE and its accompanying submission meet the requirements for the reply to the then-final Office action of September 27, 2005. The RCE is submitted with a request for a 3 month extension of time, the maximum that would have been available under 37 CFR 1.136.

(2) the petition fee set forth in Section 1.17(m); and

(3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date of the reply until the filing of this petition was unintentional.

Applicant submits that the above-referenced application was filed after June 8, 1995, and that no terminal disclaimer is required.

**Statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date of the reply until the filing of this petition was unintentional:**

The entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the required reply until the filing of this grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional.

Conclusion

Applicant submits that the foregoing comprises a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b). Applicant therefore respectfully requests that the present application be revived. Applicant also requests that the enclosed RCE be entered and the submission therewith considered.

In the unlikely event that the transmittal letter is separated from this document and the Patent Office determines that an extension and/or other relief is required, Applicants petition for any required relief including extensions of time and authorize the Assistant Commissioner to charge the cost of such petitions and/or other fees due in connection with the filing of this document to Deposit Account No. 03-1952 referencing docket no. 324212009600.

Dated: May 5, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Michael Garrabrants

Registration No.: 51,230  
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP  
425 Market Street  
San Francisco, California 94105  
(415) 268-6824